Grading for this assignment will be based on answer quality, logic / organization of the paper, and language and writing skills, using the following rubric.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Points: 220** | **Assignment 1:** **Retrospective Analysis of Personality** |
| **Criteria** | **Unacceptable****Below 60% F** | **Meets Minimum Expectations****60-69% D** | **Fair****70-79% C** | **Proficient****80-89% B** | **Exemplary****90-100% A** |
| 1. Reflect on your life history and discuss which aspects of your personality have changed over time and which aspects have stayed consistent.Weight: 20% | Did not submit or incompletely reflected on your life history and discuss which aspects of your personality have changed over time and which aspects have stayed consistent. | Insufficiently reflected on your life history and discuss which aspects of your personality have changed over time and which aspects have stayed consistent. | Partially reflected on your life history and discuss which aspects of your personality have changed over time and which aspects have stayed consistent. | Satisfactorily reflected on your life history and discuss which aspects of your personality have changed over time and which aspects have stayed consistent. | Thoroughly reflected on your life history and discuss which aspects of your personality have changed over time and which aspects have stayed consistent. |
| 2. Based on your retrospective analysis, describe the roles of nature and nurture in shaping your personality. Reflect on your justification for distinguishing between nature and nurture. Weight: 25% | Did not submit or incompletely described the roles of nature and nurture in shaping your personality based on your retrospective analysis. Did not submit or incompletely reflected on your justification for distinguishing between nature and nurture | Insufficiently described the roles of nature and nurture in shaping your personality based on your retrospective analysis. Insufficiently reflected on your justification for distinguishing between nature and nurture | Partially described the roles of nature and nurture in shaping your personality based on your retrospective analysis. Partially reflected on your justification for distinguishing between nature and nurture | Satisfactorily described the roles of nature and nurture in shaping your personality based on your retrospective analysis. Satisfactorily reflected on your justification for distinguishing between nature and nurture | Thoroughly described the roles of nature and nurture in shaping your personality based on your retrospective analysis. Thoroughly reflected on your justification for distinguishing between nature and nurture |
| 3. Discuss the possible sources of bias and inaccuracy whenever a person looks back on their past history. Be sure to discuss factors related to memory and factors related to cognition. Weight: 25% | Did not submit or incompletely discussed the possible sources of bias and inaccuracy whenever a person looks back on their past history. Did not submit or incompletely discussed factors related to memory and factors related to cognition. | Insufficiently discussed the possible sources of bias and inaccuracy whenever a person looks back on their past history. Insufficiently discussed factors related to memory and factors related to cognition. | Partially discussed the possible sources of bias and inaccuracy whenever a person looks back on their past history. Partially discussed factors related to memory and factors related to cognition. | Satisfactorily discussed the possible sources of bias and inaccuracy whenever a person looks back on their past history. Satisfactorily discussed factors related to memory and factors related to cognition. | Thoroughly discussed the possible sources of bias and inaccuracy whenever a person looks back on their past history. Thoroughly discussed factors related to memory and factors related to cognition. |
| 4. Describe why the science of psychology places more emphasis on results based on scientific studies than it does on personal experience and anecdotes.Weight: 20% | Did not submit or incompletely described why the science of psychology places more emphasis on results based on scientific studies than it does on personal experience and anecdotes. | Insufficiently described why the science of psychology places more emphasis on results based on scientific studies than it does on personal experience and anecdotes. | Partially described why the science of psychology places more emphasis on results based on scientific studies than it does on personal experience and anecdotes. | Satisfactorily described why the science of psychology places more emphasis on results based on scientific studies than it does on personal experience and anecdotes. | Thoroughly described why the science of psychology places more emphasis on results based on scientific studies than it does on personal experience and anecdotes. |
| 5. Writing / Support for ideasWeight: 5% | Never uses explanations, examples, and evidence that logically support ideas. | Rarely uses explanations, examples, and evidence that logically support ideas. | Partially uses explanations, examples, and evidence that logically support ideas. | Mostly uses explanations, examples, and evidence that logically support ideas. | Consistently uses explanations, examples, and evidence that logically support ideas. |
| 6. Writing / Grammar and mechanicsWeight: 5% | Serious and persistent errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation. | Numerous errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation. | Partially free of errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation. | Mostly free of errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation. | Free of errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation. |