|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **OBJECTIVE** | **Evaluation** | **Comments** |
| **Analysis** |  | **»** shows evolution and advancement from Initial Artifact Analysis, extending and deepening the overall analysis;  **»** is more nuanced in its claims;  **»** is more effective in presenting evidence to support claims;  **»** is more thorough in the reasoning that connects claims to evidence;  **»** situates the artifact and its analysis into a larger cultural conversation effectively and articulately. | *Exceptional*  *Reasonable*  *Minimal*  *Insufficient* |  |
| 30% |  |
|  |
| **Research**  **integration** |  | **»**includes quality sources that are credible and relevant to the issues raised by the artifact and its analysis;  **»**uses research to extend and deepenanalysis, using sources to support, complicate, challenge and/or contextualize;  **»** effectively introduces others’ voices through summary and proper quotation, using appropriate signal phrases. | *Exceptional*  *Reasonable*  *Minimal*  *Insufficient* |  |
| 50% |  |
|  |
| **organization, style & Format** |  | **»** crafts paragraphs that cohere and are organized effectively;  **»** demonstrates word choice that is precise and varied, and exhibits a tone appropriate to academic genres;  **»** contains very few grammar, punctuation, and spelling errors;  **»** is formatted correctly according to MLA standards.  **»** meets the 4–5 page length requirement | *Exceptional*  *Reasonable*  *Minimal*  *Insufficient* |  |
| 20% |  |
|  |
| **Grade: \_\_\_/100** |  |  | | |