→ Discussion of Why Psychoanalysis Is Still Questioned in Scientific Circles

104 points

Criteria Description

5. Excellent 104 points

Offers an in-depth and comprehensive discussion on why psychoanalysis is questioned in scientific circles. Clearly and effectively integrates Freud's drive theory and the theory of self-psychology.

4. Good 94.64 points

Provides a clear and well-rounded discussion explaining why psychoanalysis is questioned. Adequately integrates Freud's drive theory and theory of self-psychology into the discussion.

3. Satisfactory 85.28 points

Addresses the controversy around psychoanalysis, but lacks depth. Considers Freud's theories, but does not fully integrate them into the discussion.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

75.92 points

Provides a limited and unclear discussion of why psychoanalysis is questioned, with minimal mention of Freud's drive theory and theory of self-psychology.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Provides no or a very superficial discussion of the controversy surrounding psychoanalysis. Fails to adequately consider Freud's drive theory and the theory of self-psychology.

Criteria Description

5. Excellent 104 points

Expertly identifies two contemporary problems and provides a thorough discussion on the significance of Freudian and neo-Freudian theories as a foundation for research and solutions.

4. Good 94.64 points

Clearly identifies two contemporary problems and provides an adequate discussion on how Freudian and neo-Freudian theories serve as a foundation for research and contribute to solutions.

3. Satisfactory 85.28 points

Identifies two contemporary problems, but offers limited discussion on how Freudian and neo-Freudian theories serve as a foundation for research and solutions.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

75.92 points

Attempts to identify contemporary problems but fails to clearly relate them to Freudian or neo-Freudian theories.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Fails to identify contemporary problems or show any correlation between these problems and Freudian or neo-Freudian theories.

Criteria Description

5. Excellent 26 points

Thesis and/or main claim are clear and comprehensive; the essence of the paper is contained within the thesis.

4. Good 23.66 points

Thesis and/or main claim are clear and forecast the development of the paper. They are descriptive and reflective of the arguments and appropriate to the purpose.

3. Satisfactory 21.32 points

Thesis and/or main claim are apparent and appropriate to purpose.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

18.98 points

Thesis and/or main claim are insufficiently developed and/or vague; purpose is not clear.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Paper lacks any discernible overall purpose or organizing claim.

Criteria Description

5. Excellent 13 points

Writer is clearly in command of standard, written, academic English.

4. Good 11.83 points

Prose is largely free of mechanical errors, although a few may be present. A variety of sentence structures and effective figures of speech are used.

3. Satisfactory 10.66 points

Some mechanical errors or typos are present, but are not overly distracting to the reader. Correct sentence structure and audience-appropriate language are used.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

9.49 points

Frequent and repetitive mechanical errors distract the reader. Inconsistencies in language choice (register), sentence structure, and/or word choice are present.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Mechanical errors are pervasive enough that they impede communication of meaning. Inappropriate word choice and/or sentence construction are used.

✓ APA Format 13 points

Criteria Description

5. Excellent 13 points

The document is correctly formatted. In-text citations and a reference page are complete and correct. The documentation of cited sources is free of error.

4. Good 11.83 points

Required format is used, but minor errors are present (e.g., headings and direct quotes). Reference page is present and includes all cited sources. Documentation is appropriate and citation style is usually correct.

3. Satisfactory 10.66 points

Required format is generally correct. However, errors are present (e.g., font, cover page, margins, and in-text citations). Reference page is included and lists sources used in the paper. Sources are appropriately documented though some errors are present.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

9.49 points

Required format elements are missing or incorrect. A lack of control with formatting is apparent. Reference page is present. However, in-text citations are inconsistently used.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Required format is rarely followed correctly. No reference page is included. No intext citations are used.

Total 260 points