**Junk Van - Part 1**

| Junk Van - Part 1 |
| --- |
| **Criteria** | **Ratings** | **Pts** |
| This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeAnalysis of Current Process |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **10.0 pts****Full Credit**Current process is described and problems correctly identified and detailed. | **8.0 pts****Minor Error**Current process is described and problems are correctly identified. Minor problems with the details of the current process. | **6.0 pts****Major Error**Current process is described. Problems are not correctly identified or detailed. | **3.0 pts****Critical Error**Current process not correctly detailed. Problems not identified. | **0.0 pts****No Credit**Not submitted or too confusing to understand. |

 | 10.0 pts |
| This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeProcess Redesign |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **10.0 pts****Full Credit**Process redesign is radical and all details of the new process are thought through and correctly detailed. | **8.0 pts****Minor Error**Process redesign is radical, but some details are confusing or not well thought out. | **6.0 pts****Major Error**Process redesign is not radical or details are not thought out. | **3.0 pts****Critical Error**Process is not really redesigned - just minor tweaks or details not thought out or are confusing. | **0.0 pts****No Credit**Not submitted or too confusing to understand. |

 | 10.0 pts |
| This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeProfesssionalism |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **5.0 pts****Full Credit**Paper is well written in a professional manner. Content is presented logically. It is free from major grammar or typo errors. | **3.0 pts****Partial Credit**Paper is written in a professional manner. Content may be laid out in a somewhat confusing manner and/or minor grammar/typo errors. | **0.0 pts****No Marks**Paper is very confusing and/or multiple grammar/typo errors. |

 | 5.0 pts |
| Total Points: 25.0 |